

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/029,830	03/10/1998	PEET KASK	PEET KASK P61813USO		
75	12/16/2003	EXAMINER			
	PRICE HOLMAN & S	EPPERSON, JON D			
400 SEVENTH SUITE 600	SIREEINW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			1639		
		,	DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	29	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)	·			
•			09/029,830		KASK, PEET				
•	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
	Fb Co.		Ion D Epperson	i	1639				
-	The MAILING DATE of this commu		rs on the cover sh	I		ress			
Period fo	or Reply /				•				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a amunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will a by will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, thin the statutory minimun apply and will expire SIX ( use the application to bec	may a reply be timel n of thirty (30) days v 6) MONTHS from th ome ABANDONED	y filed will be considered timely. e mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on 25 Sept	tember 2003						
			tion is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		•						
5)	Claim(s) 77-96 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• •	from consideratio	n.					
	·								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) accept ection to the dra ig the correction	wing(s) be held in a is required if the dra	beyance. See 3 awing(s) is object	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFF				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[ * S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative et he attached detailed Office active cknowledgment is made of a claim note a specific reference was included of the certification of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim ference was included in the first serior certification.	y documents he documents he documents he of the priority onal Bureau (fon for a list of for domestic ped in the first sunguage provise for domestic per domestic	ave been received ave been received documents have PCT Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies riority under 35 U. sentence of the spe- sional application hariority under 35 U.	d. d in Application been received. s not received. S.C. § 119(e) ecification or in has been recei S.C. §§ 120 a	n No in this National S . (to a provisional a n an Application D ved. nd/or 121 since a	application) Pata Sheet.			
Attachment	(s)				• .				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		5) 🔲 Notic	e of Informal Pate	TO-413) Paper No(s). ent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/029,830

Art Unit: 1639

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESTRICTION

**Please note:** There has been a change in Examiner from Maurie Garcia Baker to Jon D. Epperson

- 1. The Amendment dated on September 25, 2003, is acknowledged (see Paper No. 28).
- 2. Upon further review of Applicant's newly added claims, an <u>additional</u> restriction and/or election of species was deemed necessary (see below).
- 3. The Examiner further notes that the Amendment dated September 25, 2003 (Paper No. 28) was not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicants failed to indicate which of the newly added claims read on the elected species (see Paper No. 24, paragraph 10).

## Species Election

4. Applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species below.

Applicant must elect one species from each subgroup below. Claim 77 is generic

## Subgroup 1: Species of microscope (see claim 79)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a <u>single species</u> of confocal microscope wherein each element of the microscope is set forth e.g., the microscope in claim 79. Furthermore, Applicants must indicate what the "data acquisition" means are i.e., Applicants must indicate whether the microscope has 1 or 2 detectors and whether it uses one or two color filters.

Subgroup 2: Species of compound of general particles (see claim 84)

Application/Control Number: 09/029,830

Art Unit: 1639

Page 3

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a <u>single species</u> of particle e.g., virus, bacteria. Please note that if Applicant elects a generic term like aggregate or complex, Applicant must indicate what the aggregate or complex is made from e.g., aggregate of bacteria.

Subgroup 3: Species of measurement volume restriction (see claim 96)

Applicant must elect for purposes of search a <u>single species</u> of particle e.g., elements of near field optical microscopy. Furthermore, Applicants must further disclose which "elements" are being used.

- 5. <u>Please note:</u> applicant <u>must</u> indicate which claims read on the elected species above.
- 6. The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. For different species of method, the method steps for each species would differ. Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Consequently, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and election for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 09/029,830

Art Unit: 1639

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR

Page 5

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

13. Applicant is also reminded that a 1 - month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory

period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the

merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will

not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP

809.02(a).

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of

a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to

the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D.

June 15, 2003

RENNETT CELSA